

**To:** Wall, Dan[wall.dan@epa.gov]; Schmittiel, Paula[Schmittiel.Paula@epa.gov]; Peterson, Cynthia[Peterson.Cynthia@epa.gov]  
**From:** Fagen, Elizabeth  
**Sent:** Wed 5/13/2015 5:38:04 PM  
**Subject:** RE: Naming convention

I'm fine with both suggestions on the BERA title and SAP title.

Will you ever do more eco sampling/risk assessment in Mineral Creek in the future?

Liz

Liz Fagen, P.E.

Environmental Engineer

US EPA Superfund Project Manager

(303) 312-6095

EPA Region 8

1595 Wynkoop St., Denver, CO 80202

**From:** Wall, Dan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 13, 2015 11:36 AM  
**To:** Fagen, Elizabeth; Schmittiel, Paula; Peterson, Cynthia  
**Subject:** Naming convention

I am plodding thru the text of our 2015 SAP making some final edits and I would like direction on what you all want to call this investigation which...leads into what we should call the BERA.

The BERA is currently called:     BASELINE ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

Upper Animas Mining District

I propose we call it something like:     BASELINE ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

Upper Animas Mining District,

Cement Creek and Mineral Creek

The next BERA could be called:     BASELINE ECOLOGICAL RISK ASSESSMENT

Upper Animas Mining District

Animas River headwaters and tributaries

The potential problem, which may not be a problem at all is naming the current BERA as I suggest above implies that we are assessing risk IN cement and mineral creeks which we didn't do.

I can live with that.

If you all can live with that then we can keep our SAP names generic for the whole mining district as below.

Sampling and Analysis Plan/Quality Assurance Project Plan

2015 Sampling Events

Upper Animas Mining District

San Juan County, Colorado

I will be finalizing the SAP soon (the BERA not soon) so if the SAP needs to reflect our moving upstream let me know how you want this dealt with.

Dan